## PHCO March 26, 2012 Results and Comparison to previous events

2012 Project Homeless Connect Data Report Source: 532 unduplicated guests provided usable data on initial intakes (548 forms submitted).

Attendance	2012		2010		2009		2008	
Male Female	358 174	75.5% 36.7%	317 157	66.9% 33.1%	315 111	73.9% 26.1%	208 90	69.8% 30.2%
Total	532		474		426		298	
% Increase	12%		11%		43%			
	17 familie	17 families served		19 Families Served				

Veterans	20:	12	2	010	20	009	20	800
Males	58		47		58		42	
Females	3		2					
Veterans: non-homeless								
Males	0		3					
Females	0		0					
Total	61		E2	11 00/-	50	12 60/-	42	1/1 10/-

Repeat Guests Attended PHC in 2009 and in 2010		2012	2	010	20	009	200	08
Male	73		49		42			
Female	42		4		7			
Total	115	21.6%	53	11.2%	49	11.5%	NA	

2012	%						
	%	2010	%	2009	%	2008	%
27	5.1%	23	4.9%	3	0.7%	3	0.9%
92	17.3%	83	17.5%	53	12.3%	71	22.4%
231	43.4%	182	38.4%	242	56.3%	155	48.9%
124	23.3%	104	21.9%	108	25.1%	53	16.7%
42	7.9%	17	3.6%	20	4.7%	5	1.6%
516	97.0%	409	86.3%	426	99.1%	287	90.5%
16	3.0%	65	13.7%	4	0.9%	30	9.5%
532	100.0%	474	100.0%	430	100.0%	317	100.0%
	92 231 124 42 516 16	92 17.3% 231 43.4% 124 23.3% 42 7.9% 516 97.0% 16 3.0%	92 17.3% 83 231 43.4% 182 124 23.3% 104 42 7.9% 17 516 97.0% 409 16 3.0% 65	92 17.3% 83 17.5% 231 43.4% 182 38.4% 124 23.3% 104 21.9% 42 7.9% 17 3.6% 516 97.0% 409 86.3% 16 3.0% 65 13.7%	92 17.3% 83 17.5% 53 231 43.4% 182 38.4% 242 124 23.3% 104 21.9% 108 42 7.9% 17 3.6% 20 516 97.0% 409 86.3% 426 16 3.0% 65 13.7% 4	92 17.3% 83 17.5% 53 12.3% 231 43.4% 182 38.4% 242 56.3% 124 23.3% 104 21.9% 108 25.1% 42 7.9% 17 3.6% 20 4.7% 516 97.0% 409 86.3% 426 99.1% 16 3.0% 65 13.7% 4 0.9%	92 17.3% 83 17.5% 53 12.3% 71 231 43.4% 182 38.4% 242 56.3% 155 124 23.3% 104 21.9% 108 25.1% 53 42 7.9% 17 3.6% 20 4.7% 5 516 97.0% 409 86.3% 426 99.1% 287 16 3.0% 65 13.7% 4 0.9% 30

Primary Race	2012		2010	%	2009	%	2008	%
Native American	31	6%	24	5%	18	4.2%	9	2.8%
Asian	9	2%	5	1.1%	4	0.9%	3	0.9%
Black/African American	136	26%	112	23.6%	130	30.2%	118	37.2%
White	279	52%	277	58.4%	244	56.7%	161	50.8%
Other/Multi-racial	22	4%	17	3.6%	3	0.7%	7	2.2%
Hispanic/ Latino	39	7%	37	7.8%	26	6.0%		
Total responses			472	99.6%	425	98.8%	298	94.0%
Missing/null	16	3%	2	0.4%	5	1.2%	19	6.0%
Total	532	100%	474	100.0%	430	100.0%	317	100.0%

Extent of Homelessness			2010	%	2009	%	2008	%
First Time Homeless	143	26.9%	115	24.3%	80	18.6%	65	20.5%
2nd or 3rd time homeless	167	31.4%	138	29.1%	141	32.8%	92	29.0%
4 or more times in the past 3 yrs	53	10.0%	34	7.2%	64	14.9%	36	11.4%
One year or more	128	24.1%	90	19.0%	68	15.8%	30	9.5%
Not homeless	25	4.7%	65	20.5%			48	15.1%
Total responses			442	100.0%	353	82.1%	271	85.5%

	Homeless Status: 2010						
Homeless	Males:	284	Females:	125	409	86.3%	
Non-Homeless	Males:	33		32	65	13.7%	

	Homeless	Status: 2	2012		To	tal
Homeless	Males:	345	Females:	162	507	95.3%
Non-Homeless	Males:	13	Females:	12	65	12.2%

					2010 Actual				
		Singles		Fan	nilies w/ Children	Non	Homeless		Totals
AGE	Male	Female		Male	Female	Totals	Totals	PHC Totals	%
60 +	1					1	17	18	3.8%
50-59	10	6				16	104	120	25.3%
40 - 49	5	5			1	11	112	123	25.9%
30 - 39	6	9			1	16	70	86	18.1%
18 - 29	7	7		1	2	17	83	100	21.1%
13 - 17	1			1		2	2	4	0.8%
7 - 12				1	1	2	12	14	3.4%
0 - 6							9	9	1.9%
Totals	30	27	0	3	5	0 <b>65</b>	409	474	100.0%

2010 Extent of Homel	essness T	otal Homeless	Pop: 409	
Homeless clients ONLY	Male	Female	Total	%
1st Time Homeless	67	48	115	28%
Second/Third time 4 or more times in	97	41	138	34%
past 3 years	21	13	34	8%
Year or longer	75	15	90	22%
No Response	24	8	32	8%

Missing/null or NOT homeless	16	3.0%	32	6.8%	77	17.9%	46	14.5%
Total	532	100.0%	474	106.8%	430	100.0%	317	100.0%

Totals	284	125	409	100%
Totals	284	125	409	100%

	201	12		20	10	2009							
Employment/Adult	Homeless		Homeless	Non	Total	%	Homeless	Non	Total	%			
Unemployed	479		335	50	385	86%	309	45	354	83.1%			
Employed	26		39	8	47	11%	30	11	41	9.6%			
No Response	27		12	3	15	3%	25	6	31	7.3%			
Totals	532		386	61	447	100%	364	62	426	100.0%			

Employed Guests 201	D Full Time	Part-time or Temp	Total	% Adult Guests
Employed duests 201	- ruii riine	Temp	Total	Guests
Males	14	12	26	6%
Females	8	13	21	5%
Totals	22	25	47	11%
%	4.9%	5.6%		

			2012							2010			2009								
Education/Adult	Hon	neless	Non-Homele	ess	To	tals	Hor	neless	Non	-Homeless	To	otals	Hor	neless	Non-H	Iomeless		Totals			
9th Grade or under	29	5.5%			29	5.5%	21	4.7%	0	0%	21	4.7%	36	9.7%	4	7.0%	40	9.3%			
Some High School	92	17.3%			92	17.3%	79	17.7%	2	0%	81	18.1%	59	15.8%	11	19.3%	70	16.3%			
HS Diploma	111	20.9%			111	20.9%	106	23.7%	35	8%	141	31.5%	89	23.9%	12	21.1%	101	23.5%			
GED	75	14.1%			75	14.1%	65	14.5%	14	3%	79	17.7%	60	16.1%	13	22.8%	73	17.0%			
Some College	93	17.5%			93	17.5%	71	15.9%	5	1%	76	17.0%	65	17.4%	8	14.0%	73	17.0%			
College Degree	54	10.2%			54	10.2%	9	2.0%	2	0%	11	2.5%	8	2.1%	1	1.8%	9	2.1%			
Graduate Degree	14	2.6%			14	2.6%	1	0.2%	0	0%	1	0.2%	10	2.7%	1	1.8%	11	2.6%			
Technical Training	24	4.5%			24	4.5%	2	0.4%	0	0%	2	0.4%	13	3.5%	5	8.8%	18	4.2%			
No response	40	7.5%			40	7.5%	32	7.2%	3	1%	35	7.8%	33	8.8%	2	3.5%	35	8.1%			
Totals	532	100.0%	0 0	%	532	100.0%	386	86.4%	61	14%	447	100.0%	373	86.7%	57	13.3%	430	100.0%			

					2012									2	2010									2009						2008	
		Homeles	s Guests		Non-H	omeless Guest	s	PHC Totals	s (all	Homeless Guests Non-Homeless Guests												Homeless			Non-Homeless				tals To	tal Homel	
Living Situation Detail	M	1ale	F	emale	Male	Fen	nale	Gues	sts)	Male		Fe	Female		Иale	Fen	nale	PHC Totals	(all Guests	) Male		Female		Subtotal	Male	Fe	Female		sts)	Guests)	
Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing/ Domestic Violence Prog.	276	52%	96	18.1%				372	70%	251	53%	89	18.8%	0	0%	0	0.0%	340	72%	203	55.2%	56	15.2%	259		0.0%	0.0%	259	60% 1	168 539	
Place not meant for Habitation - street, car, tent, etc.	6	1%	6	1.1%				12	2%	4	1%	3	0.6%	0	0%	0	0.0%	7	1%	12	3.3%	4	1.1%	16		0.0%	0.0%	16	4%	9 3%	
Substance abuse treatment center	14	3%	16	3.0%				30	6%	11	2%	14	3.0%	12	3%	13	2.7%	50	11%	9	2.4%	10	2.7%	19	4	5.5%	0.0%	23	5%	15 5%	
Other Transitional Residential center	6	1%	9	1.7%				15	3%	4	1%	13	2.7%	3	1%	7	1.5%	27	6%												
Living with Family*	13	2%	12	2.3%				25	5%	8	2%	1	0.2%	1	0%	2	0.4%	12	3%	12	3.3%	4	1.1%	16	6	9.7%	0.0%	22	5%	26 8%	
Living with friends*	13	2%	14	2.6%				27	5%	6	1%	2	0.4%	10	2%	5	1.1%	23	5%	13	3.5%	9	2.4%	22	6	9.7%	1 1.6%	29	7%	17 5%	
House or apartment*	13	2%	12	2.3%				25	5%	0	0%	1	0.2%	2	0%	1	0.2%	4	1%	18	4.9%	8	2.2%	26	17	###	13 ###	56	13%	27 9%	
Subsidized housing*	12	2%	4	0.8%				16	3%	0	0%	1	0.2%	3	1%	3	0.6%	7	1%		0.0%		0.0%	0	1	1.6%	2 3.2%	3	1%	5 2%	
Foster care or Youth group home	0	0%	0	0.0%				0	0%	0	0%	0	0.0%	0	0%	0	0.0%	0	0%	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	3		0.0%	0.0%	3	1%	5 2%	
Motel without voucher	5	1%	3	0.6%				8	2%	0	0%	1	0.2%	0	0%	0	0.0%	1	0%		0.0%		0.0%			0.0%	1 1.6%	1	0%	6 2%	
No Response	0	0%	0	0.0%				0	0%	0	0%	0	0.0%	2	0%	1	0.2%	3	1%	5	1.4%	2	0.5%	7	9	###	2 3.2%	18	4%	39 129	
TOTALS	358	68%	172	32.5%	0 09	0	0.0%	530	100%	284	60%	125	26.4%	33	7%	32	6.8%	474	100%	273	74.2%	95	25.8%	368	43	###	19 ###	<b>430</b> 1	.00% 3	<b>317</b> 100	

\*Any Homeless client in these 'housed' categories indicated they were asked to leave or evicted, or they otherwise had to find a new place to live but had no resources.

Guests indicated whether they were homeless as well as their living situation. The Homeless and Non-homeless categories in the Table above are based on HUD's definition of homeless. The numbers in the section above show you how the PHC guests identified themselves: The numbers are slightly different due to the HUD definitions.

PHC guests not in private ousing or on the street

n these programs meet HUD definition of omeless

Joshua/Timothy House - New Visions

MICAH House Open Door Mission

Salvation Army
Siena/Francis House
Stephen Center
Williams Prepared Place
Transitional Housing

Other residential programs: Guests in below programs may be home.

Campus for Hope K House Bell House Family Works - HFS Oxford House

New Hope Life Center for Women

Santa Monica House

Information in this report is self-reported guest information collected on the day of the event. Data was generated via the Nebraska HMIS ServicePoint system as well as via hand counts of the paper guest nformation forms (if release was not signed). Any paper intakes not having enough information to identify the guest were set aside and not included in this report. 2012 Project Homeless Connect - Omaha

Page 3 of 4